International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences
www.ecologyjournal.in
Online ISSN: 2664-7133, Print ISSN: 2664-7125
Received: 29-09-2025, Accepted: 28-10-2025, Published: 13-11-2025
Volume 7, Issue 4, 2025, Page No. 123-130

Sustainable management of water and sanitation

Navneesh Mahule[1], Dr. Sutapa Roy[2], Anushka Mishra[3]
[1] Department of Chemistry, St. Aloysius College, Autonomous, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India
[2] Head, Department. of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, St. Aloysius College, Autonomous, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India
[3] Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, St. Aloysius College, Autonomous, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract
Addressing urgent global issues including water scarcity, climate change, and public health requires sustainable water and sanitation management. The necessity of a paradigm change from disjointed, single-sector approaches to an integrated, comprehensive framework is emphasized in this abstract. It highlights how water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) services are interrelated and how crucial they are to reaching sustainable development objectives. Integrated water resource management (IWRM), which encourages coordinated development and fair access across all sectors, is one of the key tactics for this. The abstract also emphasizes the significance of technological innovation, including the deployment of decentralized, resilient infrastructure and sophisticated wastewater treatment for safe reuse. The essential components for guaranteeing long-term environmental integrity and social fairness are recognized as effective governance, policy frameworks, and community engagement. This approach aims to secure water for future generations by balancing human needs with ecological preservation.

Keywords: Water scarcity, governance, IWRM, resilience, wastewater

Introduction

The Global Water and Sanitation Imperative: A Foundational Challenge of the 21st Century

The sustainable management of water and sanitation is a significant worldwide issue that sits at the intersection of human health, environmental preservation, and economic stability. A sizable portion of the world's population still suffers from undernutrition despite enormous progress, particularly in the last 20 years. Over 2.2 billion people do not currently have access to safely managed drinking water, and 3.5 billion people lack proper sanitation facilities. These flaws pose significant and intricate risks to human development and welfare.

This issue stems from the fundamental reality that freshwater is a finite resource. 97% of the water on Earth is salty, with only a small percentage being fresh. Humans cannot access two-thirds of this limited supply of freshwater because of its frozen glaciers or other obstacles. Water scarcity affects 2.7 billion people for at least one month of the year due to inefficient management, which makes this natural shortage worse. The problem is not solely a quantitative one; quality is also involved. Life requires water. It is the world's most abundant resource, but only 3% of it is fresh water, and only 1% of it is usable by humans, even though it makes up 71% of the Earth's surface.

Untreated wastewater and poor sanitation contaminate available water sources, resulting in a hazardous feedback loop whereby declining quantity is accompanied by declining quality. Because of this fundamental interdependency, the international aid community has combined these issues into a single sector known as Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH). The vicious cycle of inadequate sanitation and water quality, which intensifies disease, environmental degradation, and human suffering, emphasizes the necessity of an all-encompassing management approach.

"IWRM is a process which promotes coordinated development and management of water, land and related resources in order to maximise the resultant economic and social welfare in an equitable manner without compromising the sustainability of vital ecosystems."

One of the most important and complex issues of the twenty-first century is the Global Water and Sanitation Imperative. It is a fundamental issue that is entwined with poverty, economic development, gender equality, and environmental sustainability; it is not just a public health concern. Billions of people around the world still do not have access to properly managed drinking water and sanitary facilities, despite tremendous advancements in recent decades. This widespread deficiency, which disproportionately impacts women, children, and disadvantaged populations, feeds a vicious cycle of illness, lost productivity, and social inequity. The need demands a coordinated, international effort to address the root causes of pollution, water scarcity, and ineffective resource management in addition to providing necessary infrastructure.

Access to safe water, sanitation and hygiene is the most basic human need for health and well-being. Demand for water is rising owing to rapid population growth, urbanization and increasing water needs from agriculture, industry, and energy sectors.

The two primary categories of UN-Water publications are the collective products, which represent all of UN-Water's Members and Partners, and the related products, which fall under the UN-Water umbrella but are created by specific UN-Water Members and/or Partners or by groups. (WHO, n.d.) [9]. (UN-Water, n.d.) [23].

The Crisis: Challenges to Water and Sanitation Security

The Nexus of Scarcity and Pollution

The global water crisis is characterized by a dual challenge: the diminishing quantity of available freshwater and the increasing pollution of existing sources. The vast majority of the world's accessible freshwater is consumed by agriculture, which uses 70% of the total and, through inefficiencies like leaky irrigation systems, wastes approximately 60% of what it withdraws. This unsustainable rate of consumption leads to the drying up of rivers, lakes, and aquifers. Simultaneously, the remaining freshwater bodies are becoming increasingly polluted. The scale of this contamination is immense, with 90% of sewage in developing nations discharged untreated into waterways. The pollution load is further intensified by industrial discharges and agricultural runoff, which release hazardous chemicals and excess nutrients into the environment, damaging aquatic ecosystems and threatening human health.

A critical and often overlooked aspect of this crisis is the reinforcing dynamic between water scarcity and water quality degradation. The problem is not simply one or the other; the two are inextricably linked in a dangerous feedback loop. As over-extraction from agriculture and other sectors physically depletes freshwater sources, the remaining water becomes more concentrated with pollutants. This means that as the quantity of usable water shrinks, its quality plummets, making it even less suitable for human and environmental needs. This complex interplay between quantity and quality necessitates a holistic approach that simultaneously addresses water demand management and pollution control, moving beyond the traditional, siloed management of these issues. (UNESCO, n.d.) [16].

A Public Health and Socioeconomic Burden

Inadequate WASH services have serious repercussions that go well beyond their immediate effects on the environment, resulting in a significant cost on public health and the economy. More than 1,000 children under the age of five die from diarrheal illnesses alone each day in impoverished nations due to unsafe water and inadequate sanitation. This public health hazard is not limited to underdeveloped countries; growing pollutants and chemical contaminants like fluoride and arsenic are a global concern. Moreover, recurrent illnesses brought on by unhygienic surroundings impair the absorption of nutrients, resulting in malnourishment and stunted growth in kids, which can cause long-term delays in cognitive and developmental processes.

The socioeconomic ripple effects are equally significant. The economic burden of treating sanitation-related illnesses is substantial, costing some countries several percentage points of their GDP and billions of dollars annually in healthcare expenses. Because recurrent illness results in missed workdays and lower output, these expenses are increased by decreased productivity. Since children miss more than 443 million school days annually due to WASH-related illnesses, the impact on human capital is especially severe for them. Additionally, in places with inadequate sanitary facilities, girls are 2.5 times more likely to drop out of school. Particularly noticeable is the disproportionate load placed on women and girls, who are thought to spend 250 million hours a day gathering water, a duty that keeps girls away from school and restricts their access to economic prospects. In addition to perpetuating gender inequity, the time-consuming nature of this work and the associated health hazards limit the economic potential of entire communities.

The quantifiable negative impacts—lost productivity, healthcare costs, and missed school days—demonstrate that investment in WASH is not merely a humanitarian gesture but a strategic economic policy. A dollar spent on improving water and sanitation yields significant returns in the form of a healthier, more productive workforce, higher household savings, and enhanced long-term economic output. This changes the perception of water and sanitation from a costly social problem to a foundational engine for sustainable economic growth and human development. (World Bank, n.d.) [22].

External Pressures: Climate Change, Urbanization, and Population Growth

Strong macrotrends that put pressure on the current governance and infrastructure are making the problems with water and sanitation worse. The hydrological cycle is severely disrupted by climate change, which causes storms, floods, and droughts to occur more frequently and with greater intensity. These severe weather conditions cause direct harm to water sources and sanitary infrastructure, which contaminates water supplies with waste and allows seawater infiltration. Freshwater resources for large populations in communities downstream are also under risk due to the glaciers' rapid melting.

The combined strain of fast urbanization and population increase, particularly in Asia and Africa, exacerbates this. The number of people living in cities worldwide is predicted to increase from 4.4 billion to 6.3 billion by 2050, with a large amount of this expansion taking place in unofficial settlements where access to essential services is limited. The existing overburdened and antiquated water and sanitation infrastructure, which is frequently not built to handle such high capacity demands, is severely strained by this fast densification. In densely populated places, the dangers of disease outbreaks and environmental contamination are increased when urban planning is unable to keep up with this expansion.

The convergence of these trends creates a challenge of unprecedented scale. The unpredictability of climate change makes traditional, static infrastructure models insufficient; future systems must be resilient to shocks like floods and droughts. The rapid growth of urban populations further complicates matters, highlighting a governance crisis where institutional capacity and urban planning fail to meet the needs of a burgeoning population. This necessitates a fundamental shift towards more adaptable and resilient solutions that can be scaled to meet both present and future demands. (Wikipedia, n.d.) [36].

Foundational Frameworks for Sustainable Management

UN Sustainable Development Goal 6 (SDG 6): A Global Blueprint for Action

The UN Sustainable Development Goal 6 (SDG 6) provides a comprehensive global framework for addressing the water and sanitation crisis. As a successor to the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which primarily focused on access, SDG 6 presents a more holistic and ambitious agenda that integrates targets for water quality, efficiency, scarcity, and ecosystem health. This framework recognizes that achieving universal access to safe water and sanitation is inextricably linked to the sustainable management of the entire water cycle. The eight targets of SDG 6 serve as a global blueprint for a coordinated, multi-sectoral approach. (JRC, n.d.) [5].

A breakdown of the targets and their associated indicators provides a clear roadmap for global and national action.

SDG 6 Target	Associated UN Indicators
6.1: By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all.	6.1.1: Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water services.
6.2: By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and end open defecation.	6.2.1: Proportion of population using (a) safely managed sanitation services and (b) a hand-washing facility with soap and water.
6.3: By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials.	6.3.1: Proportion of domestic and industrial wastewater flows safely treated. 6.3.2: Proportion of bodies of water with good ambient water quality.
6.4: By 2030, substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater.	6.4.1: Change in water-use efficiency over time. 6.4.2: Level of water stress: freshwater withdrawal as a proportion of available freshwater resources.
6.5: By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation.	6.5.1: Degree of integrated water resources management. 6.5.2: Proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation.
6.6: By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes.	6.6.1: Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time.
6.a: By 2030, expand international cooperation and capacity-building support to developing countries.	6.a.1: Amount of water- and sanitation-related official development assistance that is part of a government-coordinated spending plan.
6.b: Support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management.	6.b.1: Proportion of local administrative units with established and operational policies and procedures for participation of local communities in water and sanitation management.

Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM): The Guiding Philosophy

The concept of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) provides direction for accomplishing SDG 6 goals. Water, land, and related resources should be developed and managed in a coordinated manner, according to this method. IWRM's main objective is to protect the sustainability of important ecosystems while maximizing the ensuing economic and social wellbeing in an equitable way. The historical fragmentation and single-objective planning that have long defined water management are directly addressed by this strategy.

The framework of IWRM is built upon four core "Dublin Principles," which have become a cornerstone of modern water policy since their articulation at the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development:

- **Principle 1:** Fresh water is a finite and vulnerable resource, essential for sustaining life, development, and the environment.
- **Principle 2:** Water development and management should be based on a participatory approach, involving users, planners, and policymakers at all levels.
- **Principle 3:** Women play a central role in the provision, management, and safeguarding of water.
- **Principle 4:** Water has an economic value in all its competing uses and should be recognized as an economic good.

By emphasizing public participation and cross-sectoral collaboration, IWRM seeks to overcome institutional silos and align the competing demands for water from different sectors like agriculture, industry, and urban consumption. (World Water Council, n.d.) [3].

The Five Criteria of Sustainable Sanitation

Moving beyond the traditional focus on waste disposal, sustainable sanitation approaches consider the entire "sanitation value chain," from collection to reuse or disposal. The Sustainable Sanitation Alliance (SuSanA) has formalized this holistic perspective by defining five key sustainability criteria that are essential for the design and evaluation of any sanitation system. This framework is crucial for an expert-level understanding, as it demonstrates that a truly sustainable system must be multidimensional, considering not only public health but also the environment, technology, finance, and social factors. (MDPI, n.d.) [19].

Sustainability Dimension	Key Considerations and Examples
Health and Hygiene	Protection of public health from pathogens and hazardous substances across the entire system. Includes impacts on hygiene, nutrition, and livelihood.
Environment and Natural Resources	The system's consumption of energy, water, and other resources. Focuses on minimizing emissions and maximizing the recycling and reuse of valuable resources like nutrients and organic material.
Technology and Operation	The functionality, robustness, and adaptability of the system. This includes the ease of construction, operation, and monitoring, as well as its resilience to climate change, demographic shifts, and natural disasters.
Financial and Economic	The affordability of the system for communities and households, and the potential for full cost recovery. Considers the economic benefits of resource recovery, such as the production of energy or fertilizer.
Socio-cultural and Institutional	The system's socio-cultural acceptance, convenience, and impact on human dignity. Considers issues related to gender, legality, and the stability of institutional settings.

Innovative and Adaptive Solutions

1. The Role of Technology and Smart Systems

Less than 10% of utilities in low- and middle-income nations use tools like artificial intelligence or big data analytics, demonstrating the water sector's sluggish adoption of contemporary technologies. Efforts to improve efficiency and alleviate water scarcity have been hampered by this lack of creativity. But there is a revolutionary way forward thanks to a new generation of technology.

Smart water management systems, which employ sensors, AI, and smart meters, can revolutionize water distribution and consumption. These technologies provide real-time data that allows utilities to optimize resource utilization, monitor consumption patterns, and swiftly detect and repair leaks, thereby substantially increasing water-use efficiency (a key target of SDG 6.4). Additionally, advanced purification technologies are critical for ensuring the availability of safe drinking water and mitigating the spread of waterborne diseases. Embracing these data-driven approaches can make water systems smarter, more efficient, and more resilient to future pressures. (World Bank, n.d.) [21].

2. From Wastewater to Resource: Embracing a Circular Economy

A fundamental shift in perspective is required to achieve water security: viewing wastewater not as a waste product to be disposed of, but as a valuable resource to be recovered. This circular economy approach presents immense opportunities for conservation, resource recovery, and environmental protection. The untapped global potential for wastewater reuse is vast, with estimates suggesting it could supply more than ten times the current global desalination capacity. (DOE, n.d.) [30].

Resource recovery from wastewater can be achieved in three key areas:

- **Water Reuse:** With sufficient treatment, wastewater can be safely reclaimed and reused for a variety of purposes, including agricultural irrigation, industrial processes, and even municipal functions, thereby reducing the demand on finite freshwater sources.
- **Energy Generation:** The treatment process itself can become a source of energy. The anaerobic digestion of organic waste releases methane, a potent greenhouse gas, which can be captured and burned to generate electricity. This innovative process can make treatment plants energy-neutral or even energy-positive.
- **Nutrient Extraction:** Wastewater contains valuable plant nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus, which must be removed to prevent harmful algal blooms in waterways. These nutrients can be recovered in the form of biosolids or precipitated minerals like struvite, which can be sold as safe and effective agricultural fertilizers.

3. Decentralized and On-site Sanitation Systems

As rapid urbanization outpaces the development of centralized infrastructure, decentralized sanitation systems are emerging as a vital and adaptive solution. Unlike centralized systems, which rely on extensive sewer networks, decentralized systems treat wastewater close to its source, with smaller, more localized conveyance structures. This strategy has several advantages, especially for rapidly expanding cities and rural villages where installing conventional sewer systems are not feasible. Decentralized systems offer a versatile and situation-specific solution. They can be as basic as pit latrines and septic tanks or as complex as package plants and artificial wetlands. Importantly, system resilience is also improved by the decentralized paradigm. A single point of failure that is extremely susceptible to climate shocks like floods and storms is created by relying on a single, massive, centralized plant. A decentralized strategy guarantees that the network as a whole is not jeopardized in the event of a system failure by dividing the treatment load among several smaller systems. This increased security is essential for building adaptive and resilient infrastructure that can withstand the mounting pressures of a changing climate and rapid population growth. (US EPA, n.d.) [27].

4. Enhancing Agricultural Water Efficiency

Given that agriculture accounts for 70% of global freshwater withdrawals, improving efficiency in this sector is a cornerstone of water security. A range of innovative and time-tested techniques can significantly reduce agricultural water consumption.

Effective irrigation techniques, like drip irrigation, use a system of emitters and low-pressure tubes to send water straight to the crop roots. Because it minimizes evaporation and runoff, this tailored technique can conserve up to 80% more water than traditional sprinkler systems. Beyond technology, effective management techniques are essential. Rainwater collection and storage, such as rooftop systems or ponds, can reduce the demand on surface and groundwater supplies and support irrigation requirements. Additionally, using mulch and compost to improve soil health can lessen runoff, decrease evaporation, and increase the soil's capacity to hold water.

Finally, selecting drought-tolerant crop varieties and using cover crops also contributes to water conservation and soil health, representing a comprehensive approach to sustainable agriculture. (Southern Living Plants, n.d.) [32].

The Economics of Sustainable Water and Sanitation

1. The Economic Benefits of Strategic Investment

The cost of inaction on water and sanitation is a significant drain on national economies. Poor sanitation alone is estimated to cost some countries several percentage points of their GDP each year due to healthcare expenses and lost economic output. However, a strategic investment in the WASH sector yields substantial economic returns that extend far beyond direct financial metrics.

The economic case for investment is built on a "beyond-the-project" rationale. For instance, improved sanitation leads to higher household savings, as families spend less on medical bills, and a more productive workforce, which in turn leads to higher wages and better labor market outcomes. Investing in water security also directly boosts productivity in key economic sectors like agriculture and industry, and generates new jobs. These societal benefits, such as enhanced public health, improved human capital, and increased labor force participation, are powerful economic multipliers that justify the required investment even if direct financial returns are not immediate. This perspective is vital for positioning water and sanitation as a foundational investment for long-term national prosperity. (World Bank, n.d.) [22].

2. Overcoming the Financing Gap

Despite the compelling economic case, there remains a significant global financing gap. Meeting the SDG targets for water and sanitation requires an estimated annual investment of $131 billion to $140 billion, which is nearly double the current public funding levels. Bridging this gap requires a combination of public and private sector collaboration and strategic financial reforms.

The private sector, with its ability to innovate and structure new financing mechanisms, is a critical partner in this effort. By enacting legislative changes, enhancing the governance of the water sector, and employing de-risking tools to increase the appeal of investments, governments can draw in private money. The full cost recovery principle, which states that water and sanitation service fees should be set up to cover ongoing maintenance, operation, and potential construction expenditures, is another important tactic. This is crucial for creating financially viable utilities, but it must be tempered with systems to assist underprivileged populations. Lastly, as evidenced by reports showing serious flaws in budget execution and low sector productivity, increasing the effectiveness of current government spending is crucial. Governments can increase the impact of every dollar invested and draw in the private and foreign resources required to speed up development by redefining water pricing and bolstering institutional capacity.(UN-Water, n.d.) [23].

Case Studies and Lessons Learned

1. Global Examples of Integrated Water Management

Numerous international case studies show that implementing sustainable water and sanitation management calls for a portfolio of context-specific solutions. For example, the Volta Basin case study emphasizes how crucial transboundary collaboration is to the management of shared water resources. The creation of a regional strategy and action plans, cross-sector cooperation amongst national agencies, and the training of more than 215 people to manage flood and drought risks were the main factors in the project's success.

A similar lesson in efficient data and governance can be learned from Armenia's State Water Cadastre Information System. The technology made it possible to make more precise and knowledgeable decisions about water management by centralizing disparate data from various authorities. The instance of Canal de Isabel II in Madrid, Spain, provides a paradigm for fostering sustainable growth through the water sector, including the self-production of electricity, and highlights the significance of quantifying water use for effective resource management in urban areas. (UN, n.d.) [24].

2. Applying Principles in Practice

The Marselisborg Wastewater Treatment Plant in Denmark provides a practical example of a circular economy in action, where the facility produces more energy than it consumes, demonstrating the potential for energy efficiency and resource recovery in wastewater treatment. For small communities, decentralized solutions are often the most viable. Case studies from places like the Torvetua eco-village in Norway illustrate how these systems can be successfully implemented, providing sustainable sanitation solutions tailored to specific, localized needs.

This collection of case studies underscores that there is no universal, one-size-fits-all solution. A strategy that works in a transboundary river basin will be different from a solution for a rapidly urbanizing city or a remote rural village. The success of any approach—whether it is an IWRM framework, a decentralized system, or the adoption of new technology—is fundamentally contingent on the specific geographic, institutional, and socio-economic context. This implies that global blueprints like SDG 6 must be adapted into context-specific, adaptive plans to meet local needs and challenges effectively. (American Rivers, n.d.) [26].

Materials and Methods

Quantitative methods

These approaches use numerical data to measure and analyze trends in water resources and quality.

Hydrological modeling: This involves using computer models to simulate water system behaviors, such as surface water and groundwater interactions, rainfall-runoff processes, and the effects of climate change. Models aid in predicting water availability and assessing management strategies.

Water quality testing: This involves regular sampling and lab analysis to test for physical, chemical, and biological indicators of water quality. Physical indicators include temperature, turbidity, and conductivity, while chemical tests detect nitrates, phosphates, and heavy metals. Biological tests identify harmful microorganisms like E. coli.

Statistical analysis: Researchers use statistical methods to analyze multi-source data from surveys, remote sensing, and water testing. For SDG 6 assessments, models like the Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index (LMDI) can help attribute changes in water resources to different factors, such as economic or technological effects.

Qualitative methods

These methods focus on understanding human experiences and socio-economic factors related to water management.

Socio-economic surveys: Questionnaires and household surveys are used to gather data on socio-economic status, water handling practices, sanitation behaviors, and access to water services. This helps identify vulnerabilities and disparities among different community groups.

Case studies: Detailed, in-depth investigations of specific projects, policies, or regions provide contextual insights into the successes and failures of sustainable water management initiatives like IWRM.

Focus group discussions (FGDs): Researchers conduct facilitated discussions with groups of community members to explore perceptions, cultural practices, and challenges related to water use and sanitation.

Participatory research: Approaches like Participatory Action Research (PAR) engage stakeholders, including local communities, planners, and policymakers, as active partners in the research process. Techniques like social and resource mapping and problem-tree analysis are used to identify issues and co-create solutions.

Field and laboratory materials and technological tools

Water sampling equipment: This includes sterile bottles, jars, and rosette samplers for collecting water samples from various depths and sources.

Water quality testing kits: Portable field kits and meters are used for on-site measurements of parameters like pH, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and residual chlorine.

Chemical reagents and media: Laboratories use various chemical reagents and growth media, like M-Endo Agar, for more detailed testing of chemical contaminants and microbial indicators.

Biomaterials: For developing sustainable water treatment methods, researchers experiment with natural materials such as cellulose-based substances, modified biomass, and green adsorbents for filtering contaminants like heavy metals and dyes.

Remote sensing platforms: Access to platforms like Google Earth Engine and satellite data from Sentinel-2 allows for advanced environmental monitoring and land use classification related to water bodies.

GIS software: Applications like QGIS are used to create, manage, and analyze geographic data for mapping water resources and infrastructure.

Hydrological and statistical software: Programs like IBM Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) and R software are used for analyzing complex hydrological models and socio-economic data.

Survey instruments: Electronic data collection tools like Kobo Toolbox and semi-structured questionnaires are used for conducting standardized socio-economic surveys in the field.

Result and Discussion

1. The Dual Challenge of Scarcity and Pollution

The findings presented in the paper highlight that the global water crisis is a multifaceted problem characterized by the twin issues of diminishing freshwater supplies and increasing pollution. Agriculture is a major contributor to this problem, consuming 70% of the world's accessible freshwater and wasting about 60% of it due to inefficient methods. This over-extraction leads to the depletion of rivers, lakes, and aquifers. Concurrently, pollution is rampant, with 90% of sewage in developing nations being discharged untreated into waterways, further exacerbated by industrial and agricultural runoff. The paper argues that these two issues are interconnected in a dangerous feedback loop: as water quantity decreases, the concentration of pollutants in the remaining water increases, making it even less suitable for use. This necessitates a holistic approach that addresses both water demand management and pollution control simultaneously.

2. A Public Health and Socioeconomic Burden

The paper discusses the profound consequences of inadequate Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services, which create a significant public health and socioeconomic burden. Unsafe water and poor sanitation are leading risk factors for disease, responsible for over 1,000 daily deaths of children under 5 from diarrheal diseases alone8. The issue is not limited to developing nations, as chemical contaminants and emerging pollutants pose a global threat. The socioeconomic impact is also substantial, with the cost of treating sanitation-related illnesses costing some countries billions of dollars annually and several percentage points of their GDP. Additionally, inadequate WASH services lead to lost productivity, missed workdays, and significant losses in human capital, with children missing over 443 million school days each year. The disproportionate burden on women and girls is particularly striking, as they spend estimated 250 million hours daily collecting water, which limits their educational and economic opportunities. The paper concludes that investing in WASH is a strategic economic policy with high returns, rather than merely a humanitarian gesture.

3. Foundational Frameworks for Sustainable Management

To address these challenges, the paper presents two foundational frameworks: UN Sustainable Development Goal 6 (SDG 6) and Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM). SDG 6 provides a comprehensive global blueprint for action, with eight specific targets that go beyond mere access to include water quality, efficiency, scarcity, and ecosystem health. These targets serve as a roadmap for coordinated, multi-sectoral action.

IWRM, defined as the coordinated development and management of water, land, and related resources, serves as the guiding philosophy for achieving the SDG 6 targets. This approach directly addresses the historical fragmentation of water management by promoting a participatory approach involving users, planners, and policymakers. IWRM is built on four core "Dublin Principles" which recognize fresh water as a finite and vulnerable resource, emphasize the central role of women, and acknowledge the economic value of water. The paper also discusses the

five criteria of sustainable sanitation—health and hygiene, environment, technology, financial, and socio-cultural aspects—which provide a holistic perspective on designing effective sanitation systems.

4. Innovative and Adaptive Solutions

The paper identifies several innovative solutions to the water and sanitation crisis. It highlights the role of technology and smart systems, noting that the water sector has been slow to adopt modern tools like AI and big data analytics21. However, smart water management systems can provide real-time data to optimize resource use, detect leaks, and enhance efficiency.

A fundamental paradigm shift from viewing wastewater as a waste product to a valuable resource is also discussed23. This circular economy approach offers opportunities for water reuse, energy generation (by capturing methane from organic waste), and nutrient extraction (recovering nitrogen and phosphorus for fertilizer). The paper also advocates for decentralized and on-site sanitation systems, which treat wastewater close to its source, offering a flexible and resilient alternative to centralized systems that are vulnerable to shocks like floods. Given that agriculture is the largest consumer of freshwater; the paper emphasizes the need to enhance agricultural water efficiency. Methods such as drip irrigation, which can save up to 80% of water, and smart management practices like water harvesting and improving soil health, are crucial for conservation.

5. The Economics of Sustainable Water and Sanitation

The discussion on economics focuses on the compelling case for strategic investment in the WASH sector. The paper argues that the cost of inaction is a significant drain on national economies, citing the billions of dollars lost annually to poor sanitation. Conversely, investment in water and sanitation yields substantial economic returns, including a more productive workforce, higher household savings, and enhanced long-term economic output.

The paper acknowledges a significant financing gap, noting that the current public funding is less than half of the estimated annual investment required to meet SDG targets. To bridge this gap, it suggests public and private sector collaboration and strategic financial reforms. Attracting private capital requires improved governance and de-risking instruments. The principle of full cost recovery—where service charges cover operation, maintenance, and future capital investments—is also presented as a key strategy for developing financially sustainable utilities.

Conclusion and Future Outlook

Synthesis of Key Findings

The global water and sanitation crisis is a complex, multi-faceted challenge driven by a dangerous combination of scarcity, pollution, and compounding macro-trends. While frameworks like SDG 6 and IWRM provide a comprehensive blueprint for action, their implementation is consistently challenged by a substantial gap in financing, fragmented governance, and a lack of institutional capacity. The analysis shows that innovative solutions are not limited to traditional, large-scale, and centralized infrastructure. A diversified portfolio that includes smart technologies, circular economy models for wastewater, and resilient decentralized systems is essential for building a water-secure future. Furthermore, the economic case for strategic investment in the sector is robust, demonstrating that spending on water and sanitation yields significant and quantifiable societal and developmental returns that far exceed direct project outcomes. (UNESCO, n.d.) [16].

Recommendations for Policy and Practice

Based on this analysis, several key recommendations for policy and practice are critical for accelerating progress:

- **Policy and Governance:** Governments should adopt policies that formally recognize water as an economic good, ensuring full cost recovery to develop financially sustainable utilities. All water and sanitation infrastructure planning must proactively integrate climate resilience to protect systems from future shocks.
- **Investment and Finance:** Strategic investments must be prioritized to close the funding gap. This can be achieved by improving governance, building institutional capacity, and utilizing de-risking mechanisms to attract the necessary private capital.
- **Technology and Innovation:** There should be a concerted effort to encourage the adoption of data-driven and smart technologies across all water sectors to enhance efficiency, optimize resource use, and enable more informed decision-making.
- **Community Engagement:** The participation of local communities and marginalized groups, particularly women and girls, should be strengthened in the planning, design, and management of water and sanitation projects to ensure that solutions are culturally acceptable, socially equitable, and institutionally appropriate. (UN Women, n.d.) [11].

Looking Towards a Water-Secure Future

The path to a water-secure future requires a fundamental paradigm shift. The outdated linear model of resource extraction and waste disposal must be replaced by a circular model of conservation, reuse, and recovery. This new paradigm must be built on integrated governance that breaks down institutional silos, innovative technologies that enhance efficiency and resilience, and resilient infrastructure that can withstand the mounting pressures of climate change and population growth. Ultimately, achieving sustainable water and sanitation for all necessitates a global and collaborative effort that acknowledges water not as a commodity to be exploited but as the very foundation of a livable planet and a prosperous, equitable society. The journey to 2030 and beyond is not merely a technical challenge but a social and political one, demanding a renewed commitment to collaborative action. (UN-Water, n.d.) [23].

Acknowledgment

The review paper on sustainable water and sanitation management highlights the urgency of addressing global challenges such as water scarcity, climate change, and public health. This paper, titled "Sustainable management of water and sanitation", was presented by Navneesh Mahule. The abstract of the paper emphasizes the need for a shift from fragmented, single-sector approaches to a comprehensive framework, highlighting the critical link between water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) services and sustainable development goals. The paper's authors are Dr. Sutapa Roy, Head of the Department of Chemistry at St. Aloysius College, Autonomous, Jabalpur, and Ms. Anushka Mishra, an Assistant Professor and Mr. Navneesh Mahule, Student at the same institution.

References

1. ACCIONA. Sustainable water management: Achieving access to water for all [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.acciona.com.au/updates/stories/sustainable-water-management-achieving-access-to-water-for-all
2. CABI Digital Library. Principles of sustainable water management [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20123354269
3. World Water Council. Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) - A new way forward [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.worldwatercouncil.org/sites/default/files/Initiatives/IWRM/Integrated_Water_Resource_Management-A_new_way_forward%20.pdf
4. Water Knowledge Hub. IWRM Explained [Internet].

[cited n.d.]. Available from: https://waterknowledgehub.org/about/iwrm-explained
5. Joint Research Centre (JRC). SDG 6: Clean water and sanitation [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://knowsdgs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sdg/6
6. Wikipedia. Sustainable Development Goal 6 [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sustainable_Development_Goal_6
7. Wikipedia. Sustainable sanitation [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sustainable_sanitation
8. Sustainable Sanitation Alliance (SuSanA). Vision: Sustainable sanitation [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.susana.org/alliance/vision/sustainable-sanitation
9. World Health Organization (WHO). Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/water-sanitation-and-hygiene-wash
10. SA. GG. JE. JO. NB. RJ. *Et al*. Sustainable sanitation: A conceptual framework for urban settings. Water,2016:8(12):1289.
11. UN Women. In focus: Women and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): SDG 6: Clean water and sanitation [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unwomen.org/en/news/in-focus/women-and-the-sdgs/sdg-6-clean-water-sanitation
12. World Health Organization (WHO). SDG Target 6.2 | Sanitation and hygiene [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/indicator-groups/indicator-group-details/GHO/sdg-target-6.2-sanitation-and-hygiene
13. World Wildlife Fund (WWF). Water scarcity [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.worldwildlife.org/threats/water-scarcity
14. World Vision. Global water crisis facts [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.worldvision.org/clean-water-news-stories/global-water-crisis-facts
15. UN-Water. Water facts: Water quality and wastewater [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unwater.org/water-facts/water-quality-and-wastewater
16. UNESCO. The global water quality challenge & SDGs [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://en.unesco.org/waterquality-iiwq/wq-challenge
17. VanguardSV. Effects of poor sanitation [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.vanguardsv.com/2024/11/effects-of-poor-sanitation/
18. SS. A. Impact of Inadequate Sanitation on Socioeconomic and Health Conditions among Rural Households - An Empirical Study. ResearchGate, 2020.
19. MDPI. Sustainable Water Supply, Sanitation and Wastewater Systems [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/journal/water/special_issues/UYUCLFZIY7
20. HA. AR. RA. T. Innovative solutions for sustainable water and sanitation management: A comprehensive analysis towards achieving SDG 6. ResearchGate. 2024.
21. The World Bank. Water for People [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/water-for-people
22. The World Bank. Water for people [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/water/overview
23. UN-Water. Publications [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unwater.org/publications
24. United Nations (UN). Case study [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.un.org/en/water-energy-network/page/case-study
25. IWRM Action Hub. Case studies [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://iwrmactionhub.org/explore/case-studies
26. American Rivers. Integrated Water Management Resource Center [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.americanrivers.org/conservation-resources/integrated-water-management/
27. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). About Small Wastewater Systems [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/small-and-rural-wastewater-systems/about-small-wastewater-systems
28. Wikipedia. Decentralized wastewater system [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decentralized_wastewater_system
29. Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG). Wastewater Treatment and Water Resource Recovery Facilities (WRRFs) [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.wbdg.org/resources/wastewater-treatment-and-water-resource-recovery-facilities-wrrfs
30. Department of Energy. Water Resource Recovery Basics [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.energy.gov/eere/iedo/water-resource-recovery-basics
31. Nevada Irrigation District. Water Conservation in Agriculture [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.nidwater.com/water-conservation-in-agriculture
32. Southern Living Plant Collection. 5 Water-Saving Irrigation Tips [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://southernlivingplants.com/planting-care/5-water-saving-irrigation-tips/
33. UNICEF. Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) and climate change [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/wash/climate
34. UN-Water. Water facts: Water and climate change [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unwater.org/water-facts/water-and-climate-change
35. UN-Water. Water facts: Water and urbanization [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.unwater.org/water-facts/water-and-urbanization
36. Wikipedia. Water stress and urbanization [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water_stress_and_urbanization
37. DevelopmentAid. The impact of population growth on sustainable development [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://www.developmentaid.org/news-stream/post/163665/population-growth-and-sustainable-development
38. LandDesign. How Urbanization is Shaping and Straining Public Infrastructure [Internet]. [cited n.d.]. Available from: https://landdesign.com/how-urbanization-is-shaping-and-straining-public-infrastructure/