



Hydrology of Thattekkad bird sanctuary, Kerala

Ambily C B¹, Dr. A Mohandas²

¹ Research Scholar, School of Environmental Studies, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, India

² Emeritus Professor, NCAAH, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, India

Abstract

Hydrological studies are very significant for the conservation and management of wetland ecosystem. Any alteration of hydrological characteristics of wetlands affects the abundance and diversity of biota. Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary showed difference in environmental conditions and hydrological parameters. Different hydrological parameters from eight ecological distinct areas were studied for three years. A significant difference was noticed in two periods of wetland and changes taking places in the water chemistry of Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary wetland area. And this area were degraded due to habitat alteration.

Keywords: Thattekkad bird sanctuary (TBS), Hydrology, wetlands

Introduction

Wetlands are water systems with marsh or fen and with water that is static or flowing, fresh or brackish, the depth of which at low tide is below 6m. Wetlands support highly valuable pools of biodiversity and genetic resources, but unsustainable development is threatening the bio wealth and even causing species extinction. These are fragile ecosystems that are susceptible to changes even with a little change to the composition of their biotic and abiotic factors. Water quality is the most important force for all resources in the wetland ecosystems. Hydrologic condition of a wetland ecosystem is directly related to the species diversity of the system. Any change in the quality of water cause massive change in the species composition, richness and productivity of an ecosystem. Water column in wetland ecosystem vary from time to time depending upon location, precipitation and incoming water resources. Thus, the biotic community mainly depend upon the season and hydrology of an area.

Materials and Methods

Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary (TBS) falls between 10° 7' and 11° N latitude and 76° 40' and 76° 45' E longitude. The altitude ranged from 40m to 523m. and is located at Kothamangalam Taluk of Ernakulam District, Kerala state, India. The Sanctuary covers an area of 25.16 Sq. Km. It is almost foothills of the Western slopes of the Western Ghats. Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary is having well defined boundaries. The reserved Forest of Kuttampuzha and Neriya Mangalam Range, and the two rivers Periyar and the Edamalayar border the sanctuary. At the confluence of Periyar and Edamalayar a barrage- Boothathakettu was constructed in 1962 which inundated many low lying areas in the flood plains of these rivers. This inundation created new wetland habits which was existent in Thattekkad landscape before. In addition to the reservoir created by Boothathakettu barrage, other landscape elements in the sanctuary are moist deciduous forest, teak and mahogany plantations. Innumerable streams traverse various vegetation types throughout the sanctuary. Most of the streams drain either in to Periyar or Edamalayar river. Every year from

June to October the shutters (15) of the barrage are kept open to allow the free flow of water in to river Periyar, while the shutters are closed from October to June to retain sufficient water for irrigation. The closure of barrage floods the plains of the sanctuary and the level of water gets reduced considerably once the shutters of barrage are opened. The periodic cycle of flooding of plain and subsequent draining leads to ecological variation in the habitat. This, in turn, affects the flora and fauna of the area adversely (Sugathan 1995 1996 1999). During these changes, right from the original habitat to the present habitat, a number of new flora and fauna were introduced or invaded in course of time in to this area making the system entirely different from what had existed earlier.

The present study was carried out during February 2015 to December 2017. Hydrological parameters like air and water temperature, pH, TDS, humidity, water depth and dissolved oxygen (DO) were noted. Temperature was recorded using a glass Thermometer, pH EC and TDS by portable hand pH (pH 600 Milwaukee) and TDS/EC (CD 601 Milwaukee) meter. Water column depth was measured by standard measuring tape. Humidity was determined by portable Humid meter. DO was determined by standard methods (APHA, 1998, 2005) [6]. Water column level/ water depth of the study was measured by standard measuring tape and depth was vary with the weather pattern. Rainfall data was obtained from Indian Meteorological Department, Thiruvananthapuram (imd.gov.in).

Observations and Result

The three years of observation recorded generate an idea about the hydrobiology and physico-chemical characteristics of the TBS wetland. Hydrological characteristics of TBS wetland were evaluated during the two changes like closing and opening of the barrage. The observations and results are represented by following tables and graphs. The present study revealed that the quality of water in different sites of the TBS wetlands is not same. It also showed variations. Most of these effects are due to habitat alteration by closing and opening of the barrage.

Rainfall

During 2015 maximum rainfall was recorded in June (573.9 mm) and minimum in February (0.5 mm). The total rainfall recorded was 2889.1 mm. In 2016 maximum rainfall was observed in June (624.6 mm) and minimum in January (0.4 mm) and the total rainfall recorded was 2326.3 mm. During 2017 maximum rainfall was observed in June (706.3 mm) and minimum in January (11 mm) and the total rainfall recorded was 3063 mm. The amount of rainfall was recorded maximum during the year 2017 and minimum during the year 2016.

Atmospheric temperature

Atmospheric temperature varied in each study area and the two changes of habitat during the successive year of study. This common ecological factor varied in different environmental conditions and greatly influenced other factors also. The minimum and maximum temperature varied slightly in the years studied. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum temperature was recorded in February (28.37 ± 0.86 ° C) and mean minimum temperature in January (25.4 ± 0.3 ° C). During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum temperature was recorded in April (29.38 ± 0.74 °C) and minimum temperature was recorded in January (25.25 ± 0.25 °C). During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum temperature was recorded in April (30.81 ± 0.31 °C) and mean minimum in December (26.09 ± 0.32 °C).

Water temperature

The variation of water temperature in two changes of habitat was also studied and it showed variations. The minimum and maximum temperature varied slightly in the years studied and the range between of 24.69 ± 0.68 °C (2016) to 30.47 ± 1.05 °C (2017). During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum temperature was recorded in April (29.19 ± 0.91 °C) and mean minimum temperature in October (26.06 ± 1.0 °C). During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum temperature was recorded in March (30.13 ± 0.8 °C) and minimum temperature was recorded in December (25.5 ± 0.68 °C). During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum temperature recorded was in April (30.47 ± 1.05 °C) and mean minimum in July (24.69 ± 0.68 °C).

Humidity

The mean humidity (%) observed varied from 63- 88.5% from the eight intensive study locations. The minimum and maximum humidity varied slightly in the years studied. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum humidity was recorded in June. (92.37 ± 4.89 %) and mean minimum humidity in December (64 ± 6.4 %). During 2016(January- December), the mean maximum humidity was recorded in July (97.37 ± 2.3 %) and minimum was recorded in December (65.25 ± 3.8 %). During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum humidity recorded was (92.81 ± 6.58 %) and mean minimum in February (50.62 ± 6.58 %).




Fig 1: Month wise mean humidity (%) with standard deviation observed during the study periods

Water Depth

Water depth is an important factor in the hydrological property of water. Water depth showed wide variation during the study period. The water depth ranged from 10 to 249 cm in the different study areas. The average water depth was 60.7cm. The minimum and maximum water depth varied in the ranged from 26.6 to 106.8 cm. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum depth recorded was 106.8 ± 21 cm in the month of April and mean

minimum depth in November (34.6 ± 18.12 cm). During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum water depth was recorded in April (104.1 ± 4.89 cm) and minimum depth was recorded in September (26.6 ± 11.86 cm). During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum water depth recorded was in April (106.08 ± 22.81 cm) and mean minimum in November (34.87 ± 13.85 cm). During closing time all the area showed maximum water depth and sudden decrease by the time of opening.




Fig 2: Month wise Mean range of water depth during the study periods

Water pH

The pH value ranged from 5.1 to 8. The variation of water pH in each study area showed variations. The mean water pH observed was 6.4 during the study period. The minimum and maximum pH varied from 5.6 to 7.1. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum pH recorded was 7.093 ± 0.3 in the month of March and mean minimum pH was 6.011 ± 0.22 in November. During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum pH recorded was 7.09 ± 0.09 in the month of July and minimum pH recorded was 6.1 ± 0.15 in December. During 2017 (January-December) the mean maximum pH was recorded in April (6.88 ± 0.14) and mean minimum in August-October (6.5 ± 0.189).




Fig 3: Mean value of pH observed from different months

TDS

The month wise recording of mean TDS value was 38.7 ppm and its range varied from 20.6 to 66.3 ppm. The maximum mean value observed was 66.3 ± 2.1 in the month of March 2016 and minimum mean value noticed was 20.6 ± 2.1 ppm in the month of November 2017. The minimum and maximum TDS value varied slightly in the years studied. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum value of TDS was 50.6 ± 7.15 ppm recorded in March and June and mean minimum value of TDS in December (26.3 ± 11.47 ppm). During 2016 (January-December), the mean maximum TDS was recorded in March (66.3 ± 2.1 ppm) and minimum TDS was recorded in September (26.3 ± 11.5 ppm). During 2017 (January-December) the mean maximum TDS recorded was in April (53.1 ± 5.1 ppm) and mean minimum in November (20.6 ± 8.53 ppm).




Fig 4: Month wise recording of average TDS from the study area

Conductivity

The month wise recording of mean EC value was 0.07 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ and its range varied between 0.01 to 0.11 $\mu\text{S/cm}$. The maximum mean value observed was 0.133 ± 0.002 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ in the month of March 2016 and minimum mean value noticed was 0.041 ± 0.004 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ in the month of November 2017. The minimum and maximum EC value varied slightly in the years studied. During 2015(February- December) the mean maximum mean value of EC was 0.101 ± 0.028 $\mu\text{S/cm}$ recorded in April and mean minimum value of EC in March (0.052 ± 0.02 $\mu\text{S/cm}$). During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum EC was recorded in May (0.11 ± 0.02 $\mu\text{S/cm}$) and minimum EC was recorded in September (0.05 ± 0.002 $\mu\text{S/cm}$). During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum EC was recorded in June (0.08 ± 0.0186 $\mu\text{S/cm}$) and mean minimum in November (0.045 ± 0.002 $\mu\text{S/cm}$).




Fig 5: Month wise recording of average EC from the study area

Dissolved Oxygen

The existence of life on aquatic ecosystem is mainly controlled by the amount of dissolved oxygen present in it. And its one of the limiting factor of an aquatic ecosystem. The minimum and maximum DO varied from (4.1 to 9.8 mg/L) slightly in the years studied. During 2015(February-December) the man maximum DO was recorded in March (9.8 ± 0.99 mg/L) and mean minimum DO in March (4.1 ± 1.03 mg/L). During 2016 (January- December), the mean maximum DO was recorded in August (6.9 ± 0.89 mg/L) and minimum DO was 3.4 mg/L, recorded in May. During 2017 (January- December) the mean maximum DO was 7.04 ± 0.97 mg/L recorded in November and average minimum in May (3 ± 0.58 mg/L).




Fig 6: Month wise recording of Dissolved oxygen.

Correlation matrix was determined among eight hydrological variables of water (Table 1)

Table 1: Correlation analysis between hydrological parameters

Variable 1	Variable 2	r value	p value
pH	Depth	0.32	0.001
	Water temperature	0.37	0.001
Water temperature	pH	0.95	0.001
	Air temperature	0.68	0.001
Air temperature	Depth	0.33	0.001
	pH	0.63	0.001
	Water temperature	0.68	0.001
TDS	Depth	0.44	0.001
	pH	0.49	0.001
	Water temperature	0.52	0.001
	Air temperature	0.35	0.001
EC	Depth	0.44	0.001
	pH	0.49	0.001
	Water temperature	0.52	0.001
	Air temperature	0.35	0.001
	TDS	1	0.001
Humidity	Depth	0.45	0.001
	pH	0.43	0.001
	Water temperature	0.56	0.001
	Air temperature	0.22	0.001
	TDS	0.22	0.001

The result of correlation studies between hydrological parameters is summarized in table 1. The result indicate that all the variables showed significant positive correlation and significant at 0.001(***) level. Atmospheric temperature with water temperature showed a significantly moderate correlation ($r=0.68$, $p<0.001$). Atmospheric and pH showed significantly moderate correlation ($r=0.63$, $p<0.001$). Water temperature and pH showed significantly very strong correlation ($r=.0.95$, $p<0.001$).

Discussion

In the present study showed fluctuations in various hydrological parameters. The monthly fluctuation of rainfall was maximum during the monsoon period (June-September period) followed by post monsoon (October-January) and pre monsoon (February –May period). The turbidity and the growth of macrophyte increased maximum during high rainfall, which inhibits the light penetration capacity of water column resulting in decreased production (Rakhi, 2017). Gupta *et al.*, (2005) noticed that spatial and temporal variations are evident in all the physical and chemical parameters of fresh water ecosystems. According to Wahlroos *et al.*, (2015) [45] any change in air temperature of

an ecosystem regulates the biological composition of the area. Site 2 and site 3 showed decreased air temperature in February 2016 and December 2017. An increased temperature were recorded in March 2015 and April 2017 in site 7 and site 5 which may be due to the climatic and topographical condition of the sites. Temperature was recorded maximum in summer season as observed from all the study area due to the atmospheric conditions such as greater solar radiation. Moundiotiya *et al.*, (2004) [33] noticed higher summer temperatures in Ramgarh wetland. Similar observations were made in Bangara lake (Swarnalatha and Rai, 1998). At the time of monsoon seasons the temperature showed cloudy sky and high rainfall. Air temperature was greatly influenced by the radiation from the Sun (Munawar, 1970; Sun *et al.*, 2002) [34, 38]. The air temperature showed increased trends towards the summer season in perennial ponds (Datta *et al.*, 2011; Zuber, 2007).

Fluctuations in air temperature recorded during the study period might be due to the timing of collection and the influence of weather, which quite fluctuate diurnally and seasonally in the Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary. An ambient temperature was observed during the investigation of each site every month, the time of observation was not uniform at all the sites and this may be the reason for a significant difference in air temperature across different stations in the area. Similar fluctuations in ambient temperature in different study locations were observed in Karamana river, Kerala (Jayaraman *et al*, 2003) [26]. Water temperature has a great impact on biogeochemical reaction and self purification of wetland ecosystem. The water temperature pattern of wetland depends on the mixing of inflowing river water. The average value of water temperature was recorded minimum during monsoon season due to the influx of freshwater from rivers and raining effect. Similar conditions were reported by earlier workers (Ramamirtham and Jayaraman, 1963; Sankaranarayanan and Quasim, 1969). Variation in the water temperature might be due to different timing of collection and influence of season (Jayaraman, 2003) [27].

Spatial variation was more prominent in TBS. Difference in depth mainly influenced the pattern of vegetation and buildup of nutrients and also affected monthly variation of richness, abundance, diversity and density of biotic community of an area. The average water depth was high during the closing time of the barrage and showed slight fluctuations with a sudden decrease in the level of water depth during opening time. The population of diving birds was more during the closing time and the population of ground feeding water birds was more prominent during opening time. Similar observation was recorded, by Hephnum *et al.* (1998) who noticed that depth of water influences the temperature and in turn affect the survival of the prey i.e the water depth was selected for foraging of birds and Pscivorous birds require a minimum water depth (Chethana, 2011) [12]. The depth of the water was least during monsoon and maximum during premonsoon period. pH, is the negative logarithm of hydrogen ion. pH value was indicated by the intensity of the acidic or basic character of water and is influenced directly by the carbon dioxide concentration in the water, which in turn regulates photosynthetic and respiratory activities (Dülger *et al.* 2017) [15]. The determination of pH is an important factor because the solubility of carbon and the concentration of various carbonate species depend on the pH of water (Mandal,

2012). The surface water pH of TBS showed a neutral or slightly acidic pH. The average water pH recorded during the study periods of TBS was 6.5. The average pH value ranged from 5.6-7.1. The slight acidic pH of water during the rainy months is due to the seepage of rainwater. The Environment Protection Agency of United State's safe limits of pH of fresh water aquatic ecosystem is 6.5 to 6.9 and ICMR (1975) and WHO (1985) pH limit is 7 to 8.5 while ISI (1991) limits is 6.5 to 8.5. A pH range of 6 to 8.5 is normal according to the United States Public Health Association (De, 1999). According to all these Standards, pH of water recorded in TBS was not the safe limits. It was slightly acid. In the present study the pH value was high in closed time (pre monsoon months) of the barrage and pH value was low in opened time (monsoon and post monsoon months). This might be due to the high photosynthetic activity of aquatic vegetation. During the time of opening four study areas has low water level (10-40cm) compared to other remaining study area. Similar condition observed by Selmah *et al.* (2017). According to him the depth of water influences the water pH and depth of water at all stations was varied from 14.76 cm and 24.39 cm, and in relation with the depth, the water pH varied from slightly acidic to neutral (5.97–7.25).

TDS (Total dissolved solids) are any minerals, salts, metals, cations or anions dissolved in water. High concentration of total dissolved solids approximately 3000 mg/L may cause distress to the livestock and cattles (Trivedy and Goel, 1986) [42]. The mean value of TDS in Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary was 38.7 ppm and it ranges from 20.6 to 66.3ppm. Primary sources for TDS in Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary are leaching from soil, agricultural and residential runoff. The concentration of total dissolved solids in TBS was noticed maximum during the monsoon season from different sites, which might be due to the addition of solids from the surface runoff. According to Gaval *et al.* (2011) [16] in fresh water ecosystem, dissolved solids originate from natural sources and depend upon the location, geological basin of water body drainage, rain fall, bottom deposits and inflowing water. However the TDS value at site 4 showed relatively very low might be due to heavy runoff.

The average EC value ranged between of 0.01 to 0.11 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Brraich and Saini (2015) reported that fresh water ecosystems had low conductivity in their natural state but the conductivity was high in polluted water. The present study showed fluctuation in electrical conductivity that might be due to the presence of dead and decayed debris of aquatic macrophytes. Bijoy (2012) reported that the fluctuations in the values of EC observed due to variations in the rate of decomposition of organic matter, variations in the water level and evapo-transpiration. EC was maximum during closing time; increase in EC might be due to increase in the water level, as a result of evaporation and increase in organic matter such as dead and decaying matter of aquatic macrophytes of the wetlands. Similar observation was founded by Sulabha and Prakasam (2006) and Gerla (2013). Dissolved Oxygen is the main source of oxygen for all the aerobic aquatic life and it is an important factor to quantify the purity for all waters. DO is free oxygen dissolved in water and it is used to measure the health of natural water. Laluraj *et al.*, (2002) [30] reported that DO is an important water quality parameter to measure water pollution. The factors affecting oxygen content in natural waters include atmospheric air-water interaction, photosynthetic process,

respiration, decomposition and mineralization of organic matter (Maya, 2009). The range of DO in TBS was 1.21 to 12.48mg/L and showed higher variation. The variation of dissolved oxygen of water was significant across the different sites during all the months and seasons. The mean value of DO in the water of TBS was 6.09 mg/L and ranged from 3.4 to 9.8 mg/L. The average maximum DO in TBS was observed during the month of September and October (9.8mg/L) and minimum (3.4mg/L) during May. A fall in DO during closing time of the barrage might be due to death and decay of aquatic flora and fauna and the presence of organic matter. And an increase in the value of DO was observed during the time of opening of barrage. It can be concluded that during the premonsoon period (closing period), the decreased rate of flow, and reduction in photosynthetic activity and rapid evaporation result into decay of vegetation affecting the DO level. According to Vaheeda (2008) [43] aquatic macrophytic debris increased the organic load and resulted in the depletion of dissolved oxygen in different sites of Perumthode of Kodungallur region. The present study is in conformity with the above statement; dissolved oxygen levels of TBS unfavour the growth of fishes, macrophyte as well as macroinvertebrates during closing time and favour the growth during opening time of the barrage. The value of DO less than 2 mg/L was observed in site 1, site 3 and site 7 from the TBS.

The values of dissolved oxygen deplete during premonsoon because at high temperature the oxygen holding capacity of water decreases. Present observations are in agreement with similar studies on these parameters made by Varghese *et al.* (1992), Yogesh and Pendse (2001) [50]. According to Odum (1971) the DO value decreases might be due to respiratory activity of the fauna and flora of the lake. The high level of DO might be due to the self-purification capacity of flowing water, aquatic plants, photosynthetic efficiency and air flow (Hynes, 1970; Singh & Trivedi, 1979) [19].

The present study concluded that air temperature, water temperature and pH showed only slight variations in two changes of the habitat. But climatic factors like rainfall, humidity, water depth, TDS, EC, DO showed marked difference between two changes of habitat. During the closing time, the stagnant nature of the water column affects the hydrology of the system and its dependence, flora and fauna.

The observation recorded and generate an idea about the hydrobiology of TBS wetlands The water logging environment resulted in the deterioration of water quality in the study area. The quality of water is depleting rapidly with the change in the habitat of TBS annually and this affect the entire biota of the wetland. There-fore studies on the present environmental conditions of the TBS wetlands are very relevant for protection of the biotic community from a big loss due to habitat fluctuation.

References

1. Aiswarya AJ, Viji Varghese, Thara K Simon. Biodiversity studies in the wetland ecosystems of Anachal, N. Paravoor, Kerala. Pro. of first Kerala Womens Science Congress, Ernakulam, 2010.
2. Altman J. Observational study of behaviour: sampling methods. Behaviour, 1974;49:227-267.
3. Amezaga JM, Santamaria L, Green AJ. Biotic wetland connectivity—supporting a new approach for wetland policy., Acta oecologica, 2002;23(3):213-222.
4. Anon. Wetland classification system. Scientific note, RSAM/SAC/RSAG/MWRD/WLM/TN/02/94, Sacramento, 2008, 9.

5. APHA. American Public Health Association: Standard methods for examination of water and waste water, 17th Ed. APHA, Washington, USA, 1989.
6. APHA. Standard Methods of the Examination of Water and Waste Water. 21st Edn. APHA, AWWA, and WPCF Publications, Washington DC, USA, 2005.
7. Arun AU. A study of environmental and hydrographical parameters and its influence on primary production in a pokkali field. Msc dissertation in Marine biology, 1996.
8. Arun LK, Shaji CP, Easa PS. Record of new fishes from Periyar Tiger Reserve, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.,1996:93:103-104.
9. Balasubramanian M, Veeramani A. A rapid biodiversity assessment of Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary, Kerala, 2011.
10. Carter JL, Resh VH. After site selection and before data analysis sampling, sorting and laboratory procedures used in stream using benthic macroinvertebrates monitoring programme by USA. J.N. Am. Benthol. Soc.,2001:20:658-682.
11. Centre for Environment and Development (CED). Survey and Inventory of Wetlands of Kerala for Conservation and Sustainable Management of Resources. Final report, Kerala Forests and Wildlife Department, Government, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, 2003.
12. Chethana P. A Study of ecology of the Piscivorous Birds at Thol. Bird Sancturay. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Saurashtra University, 2011.
13. De AK. Environmental Chemistry, New Age International (P) Ltd. Publishers, New Delhi, 1999, 364.
14. Deb DB. A study on aquatic vascular plants of India. Bull. Bot. Soc. Beng.,1976:29(2):155-170.
15. Dülger E, Heidbüchel P, Schumann T, Mettler-Altmann T, Hussner A. Interactive effects of nitrate concentrations and carbon dioxide on the stoichiometry, biomass allocation and growth rate of submerged aquatic plants., Freshwater Biology,2017:62(6):1094-1104.
16. Gaval AR, Kumar MHC, Rathod ARD, Rajanna MD. Nutrient over loading of few selected lakes of Karnataka. J. Ecobiol,2011:29(1):65-69.
17. Gleena K Davi, Vincent EJ. An ecological study of weed flora in the Muriyad wetland system, in Vembanad kole, Ramsar site, Kerala, 2006.
18. Guptha Bubesh M, Chalapati Rao PV, Ramalingam G, Prasad PN, Kishore S, Rajasekhar M. The water birds of Nelapattu Bird Sanctuary, Andra Pradesh India. World Journal of Zoology Hamilton: University of Waikato,2011:6(3):249-254.
19. Hynes BBN. The ecology of running waters. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, 1970.
20. ICMR, Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies, Special Report Series, 1975, 44, 2nd Ed.
21. Indresha GN, Patra AK. Seasonal variations in the physicochemical parameters of Kanjia lake., Life Sciences Leaflets,2014:47:55-64, ISSN 2277-4297.
22. ISI. Indian standard specification for drinking water IS: 10500, ISI, New Delhi, 1991.
23. IUCN. Directory of wetlands of international importance. Convention on wetlands of international importance especially as waterfowl habitat, Regina, Canada, May-June, 1987., IUCN Conservation and monitoring centre., Glands, Switzerland and University of Cambridge, U.K, 1987.
24. Jayaram KC. Freshwater fishes of India. A hand book – Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 1981.
25. Jayaram KC. The freshwater fishes of the Indian region, Narendara Publications, Delhi, 2010, 616.
26. Jayaraman PR, Devi TG, Nayar TV. Water quality studies on Karamana River, Thiruvananthapuram District, South Kerala, India, Poll. Res,2003:22(1):89-100.
27. Jayaraman PR, Devi TG, Suena Nayar VT. Water quality studies on Karamana river, Thiruvananthapuram, District, South Kerala, India. Poll. Res,2003:32(91):89-100.
28. Kaul V, Handoo JK. Physicochemical characteristics of Nilnag-a high altitude forest lake in Kashmir and its comparison with valley lakes; Proc. Indian National Sci. Acad. B,1980:46(4):528-541.
29. Kokkal K, Harinarayanan P, Sabu KK. Wetlands of Kerala. Proceedings of Taal, 2007, 1889-1893.
30. Laluraj CM, Padma P, Sujatha CH, Nair SM, Kumar NC, Chacko J. Base-line studies on the chemical constitues of Kayamkulam Estuary near to the newly commissioned NTPC power station, Indian J. of Envntl. Prctn,2002:22 (7):721-731.
31. Madhusoodanan Nair. Studies on certain ecological aspects of two river system of the Western Ghats., Ph. D Thesis, 1992.
32. Madsen JD, Chambers Pa, James WF. The interaction between water movement, sediment dynamics and submersed macrophytes. Hydrobiologia,2001:444(1-3):71-84.
33. Moundiotiya C, Sisodia R, Kulshreshtha M, Bhatia AL. A case study of the Jamwa Ramgarh wetland with special reference to physico-chemical properties of water and its environs. J. of Envnt. Hyd,2004:12:24-31.
34. Munawar M. Limnological studies on freshwater ponds Hyderabad, India, 11. Hydrobiologia,1970:36(105)-1:28.
35. Odum EP. Fundamentals of Ecology. W.B. Saunders Company, USA, 1971.
36. Saby V. Third Management Plan for Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary 2012-13 to 2021-22, Forest & Wildlife Department, Govt. of Kerala, 2012.
37. Sankaranarayan VN, Qasim SZ. Nutrients of the Cochin backwater in relation to environmental characteristics. Marine Biol,1969:2(3):236-247
38. Sun G, McNulty SG, Amatya DM, Skaggs RW, Swift LW, Shepard JP, *et al.* A comparison of the watershed hydrology of coastal forested wetlands and the mountainous uplands in the Southern U S., Journal of Hydrology,2002:263(1):92-104.
39. Swaranlatha N, Rai NA. Ecological studies of Banjara Lake with reference to water pollution. J. of Envi. I Bio,1998:19(2):179-186.
40. Swarnalatha, Rao Narsing. Inter relationship of physico-chemical factors of a pond. J. Env, Biol,1997:18(1):67-72.
41. Thomas S, Harikrishnan K, George S, Paulmurugan R, Das MR. Studies on water quality

- of Kuttanad wetland system of Kerala., *Poll. Research*,2001:20(1):59-66.
42. Trivedy RK, Goel PK. Chemical and biological methods for water pollution studies., Environmental Publications, Karad, 1986.
 43. Vaheeda KK. Ecological studies on the brackish waters of Kodungallur area, Kerala, India, Ph. D Thesis, Mahatma Gandhi University, 2008.
 44. Verghese M, Anil C, Naik LP. Hydrobiological Studies of a domestically polluted tropical pond. I. Physico-Chemical Characteristic. *J. of Poll. Res*,1992:11(2):95-100
 45. Wahlroos O, Valkama P, Mäkinen E, Ojala A, Vasander H, Väänänen VM, *et al.* Urban wetland parks in Finland: improving water quality and creating endangered habitats., *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services and Management*,2015:11(1):46-60.
 46. Wang GX, Zhang LM, Chua H, Li XD, Xia MF, Pu PM. A mosaic community of macrophytes for the ecological remediation of eutrophic shallow lakes., *Ecological Engineering*,2009:35(4):582-590.
 47. Wantzen KM, Junk WJ. Aquatic-terrestrial linkages from streams to rivers: biotic hot spots and hot moments., *Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplements*, 2006, 158(4).
 48. Welch PS. *Limnology.*, 2nd Edition. Mc. Graw Hill, New York, 1952, 536.
 49. WHO. Guidelines for drinking water quality, 1st edition World Health Organization, Geneva, 1985.
 50. Yogesh S, Pende DC. Hydro biological study of Dahikhura reservoir. *J. of Env't. Bio*,2001:22(1):67-70.