



Identification of forage plant species commonly eaten by Giraffes in two different seasons (Dry and Wet) in Sumu wildlife park of Bauchi State, Nigeria

Lamara Bala Gwallameji, Modibbo Saleh Misau, Adamu Mato

Department of Forestry Technology, Bauchi State College of Agriculture Bauchi, Nigeria

Abstract

The study assessed the feeding habit and feed quality of giraffes in Sumu Wildlife Park, Bauchi State, Nigeria. The Park was sub-divided into six sites; one plot of one hectare was randomly selected from each of the site. Direct observation and total count was used in noting the forage species consumed by giraffes. The most preferred forage species were obtained following the time spent on (5 to 10 minutes and 30 minutes to 1 hour) grazing/browsing on each forage species. Descriptive statistics, Pearson's product moment, correlation coefficient and t-test were used to compare the seasonal feeding behaviour, while proximate analysis was adopted in determination of feed quality. A total of 16 different forage species (11 trees and 5 grasses) belonging to 6 families were obtained seasonally. The result obtained showed that *Acacia albida* showed the highest dry matter (83.3%) value with lowest ether extract value (4.1%). *Balanites aegyptiaca* had the highest moisture content (60.1%) value compared with ether extract (1.4%) which had the lowest value. The proximate analysis of moisture content of *Pennisetum purpureum* is higher (75.9%) than that of *Mimosa asperata* (64.2%) but lower in ether extract (3.9%) than *Mimosa asperata* (4.7%). The results of the correlation coefficient between food availability and utilization by giraffes showed a direct relationship between food availability and utilization by giraffes during the wet and dry seasons. A test of significance of the r values showed ($p < 0.05$) a significant and non-significant correlation coefficient for wet and dry season respectively. Further research on the nutrient contents of other plants is recommended.

Keywords: Giraffes, Sumu wildlife park, feeding behaviour

Introduction

The name "giraffe" has its earliest known origins in the Arabic word *zarafa*. The name is translated as "fast-walker". There were several Middle English spellings such as *jarraf*, *ziraph*, and *gerfauntz*. The word possibly was derived from the animal's Somali name *geri*. The Italian form *giraffa* arose in the year 1590s. The modern English form developed around 1600 from the French *giraffe*. The species name *camelopardalis* is from Latin. The giraffe's scattered range extends from Chad in the north to South Africa in the south and from Niger in the west, to Somalia in the east. Giraffes usually inhabit savannas, grasslands, and open woodlands. Their primary food source is *Acacia* leaves, which they browse at heights most other herbivores cannot reach. Giraffes are preyed on by lions, and calves are also targeted by leopards, spotted hyenas, and wild dogs, (Badlangana *et al.*, 2009) [10].

Giraffe's social interaction is flexible as they may range as solitary individuals, but also gather in clusters of 20-40 animals, with solitary bulls being more common than solitary cows (Bercovitch *et al.*, 2010) [16]. Adult giraffes do not have strong social bonds, though they do gather in loose aggregations if they happen to be moving in the same general direction. Males establish social hierarchies through "necking", which are combat bouts where the neck is used as a weapon. Dominant males gain mating access to females, which bear the sole responsibility for raising the young. While some ancient giraffes such as *Sivatherium* had massive bodies, others such as *Giraffokeryx*, *Palaeotragus* (possible ancestor of the okapi), *Samotherium*, and *Bohlinia* are more elongated. (Badlangana, *et al.*, 2009) [10]. The Giraffe is a long-necked, hooved mammal that is natively found grazing in the open woodlands of sub-Saharan Africa.

The Giraffe is the tallest living animal on land and despite its height is mostly closely related to the much smaller and solitary Okapi that is found elusively dwelling in the dense tropical forests. There are nine recognized sub-species of Giraffe that are found in differing geographic locations and vary somewhat in the colour and pattern of their spot-like markings. Although the Giraffe would have once been found across sub-Saharan Africa and even in parts of North Africa, today they are extinct from much of their historically vast natural range with only small, isolated populations remaining in a handful of regions in central Africa. Further south however, Giraffe populations are considered to be stable and are even growing in some areas due to an increase in demand for them on government and private ranches (Lorraine, 2002).

Giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*) is one of the tallest land animal with an average height of up to 5.5m (males), 4 to 4.5m females to top of head 1100kg (800 to 1930), females 700kg (550 to 11800) (9) for male and female respectively. Neck elongated, with a short, erect mane, shoulders much higher than croup but limbs of nearly equal length. It has a tail, Hock with long black terminal tuft and Horns. The pair is up to 13.5cm, borne by both sexes, the ends knobbed and hairless in adult males, thin and tufted in females and young; a median, lumpy horn and smaller bumps in males only. Coloration: ground color brown to dark chestnut (sometimes black), broken up into patches and blotches by a network of light-colored hair, the pattern individually unique; males darken with age. Scant glands: possible a pod-crane glands on eyelids, nose, adult males have a pungent odor (Richard, 1992; Williams, 2011).

The Giraffe has an enormously long neck which allows it to exploit the leaves and vegetation that are too high up for

other animals to find. Despite their length, the neck of the Giraffe actually contains the same number of bones as numerous other hoofed mammals but they are simply longer in shape. The Giraffe's elongated neck leads into a short body, with long and thin, straight legs and a long tail that is tipped with a black tuft that helps to keep flies away. The Giraffe tends to be white in color with brown or reddish markings that cover its body (with the exception of their white lower legs). The markings of each Giraffes are not only unique to that individual but they also vary greatly between the different Giraffe species in size, color and the amount of white that surrounds them. All Giraffes though have large eyes that along with their height give them excellent vision, and small horn-like ossicones on the top of their heads. (Lorraine, 2002).

1. Feeding Ecology and Food quality

According to Hutchins *et al.*, (2003), Giraffes are picky browsers. They eat twigs and leaves mostly from the *Acacia* and *Combretum* trees. And also some related forages depending on the seasons. Some other seasonal options are seed pods, fruits, flowers, thorns, climbing plants, and wild apricot trees. Sometimes they have been seen to be eating bird nests. In the nests, they sometimes chew on the bones of the young birds that serve as extra nutrition. They usually eat in the morning, males mostly eat upper parts of the leaves and females the lower parts. They eat about 65 pounds of twigs and leaves every day. They spend 16-20 hours eating. They get the twigs and leaves by using their strong muscular tongue, and strong lips. They chew it up, swallow it, regurgitate it up, and chew it again. This odd process is repeated several times for every mouthful. They can also go a week without water. The giraffe is a primary consumer because it doesn't eat meat, it's an herbivore. They get their food by its long legs and tall necks. Both males and females can stretch their necks in high vertical position to access their food (Hummel and Clauss, 2006).

Scientists also found that the in giraffe female's diet is nutritionally richer than the males, while the males can consume more food than females can. They eat from plants in streams and rivers banks during the dry season. They adapt their feeding to different regions and seasons. Among the species of plant consume by giraffes are *Acacia nilotica*, *Acacia sieberiana*, *Acacia seyal*, *Combretum nigriscars*, *Combretum mole*, which are important sources of Calcium and Protein to sustain the giraffe's growth rate. When Giraffes are stressed, they may chew the bark off branches. Although herbivorous, the Giraffe has been known to visit carcasses and lick dried meat off bones (Wikipedia, 2014). Giraffes feed on leaves, flowers, seed pods, and fruits. In areas where the savanna floor is salty or full of minerals, they eat soil as well. Giraffes are ruminants and have a four-chambered stomach. Chewing cud while traveling helps to maximize their feeding opportunities (Maisano, 2006).

Du toit, (1990), stated that Giraffe feed on *Acacia* flowers because of the attractive food resource to it, particularly in the dry season when many of the savanna trees who have shaded their leaves and become bare. Giraffe feeding at this time is detrimental to the reproductive success of flowering trees; a strong selection of food is used for the purpose of defense against flower predation which is expected when feeding.

The giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*) is usually described as an exclusive browser, feeding on shrubs and trees,

preferably between 2-5 metres above ground. Although browsing seems to be an easier form of feeding for giraffes in terms of accessibility and vigilance, a few studies mention that the giraffe also 'very occasionally' feeds on grass (DuToit, 2005). To be able to graze, a giraffe has to adopt the typical 'drinking position', where the forelegs are splayed out laterally, and sometimes the carpal joints are also flexed. In this position the animals are particularly vulnerable to predator (Seeber *et al.*, 2012).

2. Giraffe diet in the dry and wet Season

Hutchins *et al.*, (2003). observed that giraffes live in habitats where the available food varies throughout the year. During the dry season, giraffes eat evergreen leaves; However, once the rainy season begins, they switch to new leaves and stems that sprout on deciduous trees. Also, twigs and branches are pulled into the mouth of the giraffe with their long and dextrous tongues. In the wild giraffes can eat up to 66 kilograms of food daily. When there is a choice, male and female giraffes feed in different ways. Males concentrate on leaves from the highest branches, while the females arch their necks to eat closer to the ground. Because of this characteristic behavior, a giraffe can be identified as either male or female from a long distance, simply by its stance while eating. Male giraffes are also more inclined to wander into dense woodland, a habitat that females generally avoid. Giraffes drink large quantities of water and as a result, they can spend long periods of time in dry, arid areas. When searching for more food they will venture into areas with denser foliage. The giraffe has tough lips to ensure there is no damage to their mouths when chewing at trees and twigs such as thorns. Giraffes in captivity are generally fed on alfalfa hay and pellets, apples, carrots, bananas and browse (elm and alder are favorites).

Study Area

1. Location of the study area

Sumu Wildlife Park lies in the heart of Lame Burra Game Reserve along the Kano Federal Highway, barely 59 square kilometres from Bauchi town. It lies between the latitudes 10°40' and 11°20', North longitudes and 10°09' East and 11°30' Eastward as shown in (Figure 1). It was created in 2006 and has been visited by Bill Clinton who attested to its potential. Although 82 sq km is allocated to the Reserve, only 12 square kilometres has been fenced off. Of which, 8 square kilometre belongs to Sumu Wildlife Park. It may seem as no remarkable feat to visit Sumu to see just six species of animals, but there are a few reasons why Sumu Wildlife park is worth the trip. One reason is you get to experience a drive through the Sudan Savannah to see Nature growing untamed and the animals roaming free; it is no secret that Bauchi State caters to both the Sudan and Sahel Savannah in its 46 thousand square kilometre mass of land. The road leading to Sumu Wildlife Park is untarred with nothing but grass-covered hills and scattered little farms by the friendly people of Kafin Madaki headquarters of Ganjuwa Local Government Area, Bauchi State., (Bauchi State Government Diary [BASGD] 2013).

1.1. Climate


Ganjuwa has a Tropical Climatic condition. In wet/rainy season there is much more rainfall in the study area than is in the dry season. The average annual temperature is 26.8°C while the average annual rainfall is 1021mm. The driest

month is December with zero rainfall. Most precipitation falls in August with an average of 2410mm. The warmest month of the year is April with an average temperature of 30.3°C. In December, the average temperature is 24.6°C. It is the lowest average temperature of the whole year (BASGD 2013).

1.2 Vegetation

The vegetation of the Sumu wildlife park comprises of various types of forage species such as *Acacia seyal*, *Acacia senegalensis*, *Anona senegalensis*, *Combretum molle*, *Acacia hebeclada* etc. While herbaceous plants like *Andropogon spp*, *Imperata cylindrical*, *Aristida spp*, *Panicum maximum*, *Pennisetum purpureum*, among others. Grass species abundant in the Open Woodland included several species with a high grazing value such as *Andropogon gayanus*, *Panicum maximum* and *Digitaria*

eriantha (Van Oudtshoorn 1999; Matthews *et al.*, 2001; Ibrahim, *et al.*, 2012; Sauer 1983). Growing tall gave the giraffes access to a 2m band of foliage beyond reach of all other large browsers but elephant aided by its 45mm tongue and a modified atlas-axis joint that enables the head to tilt to the vertical, a giraffe can be seen feeding on crowns of small trees. Big bulls can reach up to 5.8m, nearly a meter higher than cows. Where a choice exists between high and low browse, there is a clear ecological separation between the sexes, the bulls browse on the high trees, while females concentrate on regenerating trees and shrubs below 2m. The sexes of distant giraffes can usually be predicted by whether the animals are feeding high or bending low. Differences in feeding ecology as well as lower vulnerability to predators (based on size and absence of parental responsibility) allow males to enter taller and denser woodland more readily than females, leading also to measure.



Source: BASGD, (2016)

Fig 1: Map of the Study Area

spatial separation of the sexes, (Richard,1992). Individual plants can exhibit a multitude of responses to herb ivory. Among the most conspicuous of these strategies are.

Induced responses may constitute an allocation cost, whereby resources that otherwise would be devoted to growth or reproduction are used to defend the individual

from attack by herbivores. Where (and when) herbivores occur, it follows that induced responses should mitigate the negative effects of herb ivory, if not provide a net benefit to individuals. By contrast, induced responses are unnecessary where herbivory is absent (Brown et al.,2007) [19].

The habitat of ungulates provides them with food, water and cover and the feeding styles of each species are therefore of primary importance in determining their preferred habitat (Van Rooyen 1990). The giraffe has suffered a major reduction in population size across its range primarily due to habitat loss, commercial overutilization, and severe poaching, and such decline continues unabated. The Federal Endangered Species Act has a duty to protect the iconic giraffe by listing the species as endangered under the Federal Endangered Species Act, which would meaningfully contribute to giraffe conservation by strictly regulating the import, export, and interstate commerce in giraffes and their parts and products. As mentioned earlier the giraffes nowadays are not as geographically widespread in Africa as they used to be.

Data collection techniques

Direct observation of the types of forage species eaten by giraffes seasonally was assessed in each of the selected plot. Data on quantity of herbaceous plants consumed were

collected using quadrat of 1m x1m. The total count of the most preferred forage plant species eaten by the giraffes was adopted following Sutherland (1999) method. Data on the forage most preferred by the giraffes were obtained following the time spent on sighting the giraffe’s browsing on each of the species among the individuals. Time spent browsing was measured between 5 to10 minutes, 30 minutes to 1 hour on a species preference and also the percentage availability was determined by dividing the mean number of each forage species in the six (6) plots by the total mean of all the species x 100.The food availability is assessed in terms of the density of each species relative to every other species as outlined by Mitchell and Skinner (2004).

Results

Checklist of Forage Plant Species Consumed by Giraffe in the Wet and Dry Seasons in Sumu Wildlife Park.

The results of the checklist of forage plant species eaten by the giraffes in the study area is presented in Table 1. A total of sixteen (16) forage plant species (11 trees and 5 grasses) belonging to 6 families and their common names and the mostly parts (leaves, twigs, pods, flowers and thorns) consumed by giraffes were identified.

Table 1: Checklist of Forage Species Consumed by Giraffes in the wet and dry season in the Sumu Wildlife Park.

Species	Common Name	Part Consumed
<i>Combretum hypopilinum*</i>	Red leaf	Leaves/pods
<i>Combretum molle*</i>	Monitor lizard’s neck	Leaves/pods
<i>Diospyros mesp *</i>	Leaves/pod	Leaves/pods
<i>Acacia senegal*</i>	Three horned acacia	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Acacia sieberiana*</i>	White leaf	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Acacia albida+</i>	Winter thorns	Leaves/twig/pods
<i>Acacia nilotica*</i>	Egyptian mimosa	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Acacia hebeclada+</i>	Black thorns	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Balanite aegyptiaca+</i>	Desert date	Leaves/pods/thorns
<i>Mimosa pudica+</i>	Giant sensitive plant	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Mimosa asperata+</i>	Bashful plant	Leaves/twig/thorns
<i>Ziziphus mucronota*</i>	Buffalo thorn	Leaves/pods/thorns
<i>Panicum maximum +</i>	Guinea grass	Leaves/stalks
<i>Pennisetum purpureum*</i>	Elephant grass	Leaves/stalks
<i>Adropogon gayanus +</i>	Gamba grass	Leaves/stalks

Source: Field Survey (2017/2018)

Key: i * = plant species available in the wet/raining season in the study area.

ii + = plant species available in the dry season in the study area.

Table 2: Frequency and Mean of forage plant species in feeding sites (one hectare= 100mx100m) in Sumu Wildlife Park

Forage species	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 4	Plot 5	Plot 6	Total	mean
<i>Acacia albida</i>	4	8	X	12	2	4	30	5.00
<i>Acacia hebeclada</i>	8	X	12	26	4	14	62	10.33
<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	6	2	4	X	10	6	28	4.67
<i>Acacia sayel</i>	32	6	10	24	2	42	116	19.3
<i>Acacia Senegal</i>	40	X	32	26	32	X	130	21.67
<i>Acacia sieberiana</i>	20	2	4	12	4	20	62	10.33
<i>Balanites aegyptiaca</i>	4	10	X	42	12	4	72	12
<i>Combretum hypopilinum</i>	X	10	X	X	X	X	26	4.33
<i>Diosphorus mespiliformis</i>	20	2	4	12	4	20	62	10.33
<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	4	X	12	2	8	2	28	4.67
<i>Ziziphus mucronata</i>	4	14	X	8	12	2	40	6.66
Grasses								
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	10	X	6	20	8	44	88	14.67
<i>Mimosa asperata</i>	8	30	X	8	6	4	56	9.33
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	8	4	2	14	X	12	40	6.67
<i>Pannisetum purpureum</i>	8	12	6	4	2	2	34	5.67
Total	176	102	96	212	104	140	898	149

Source: Field survey (2017/2018)

Table 3: Percentages of seasonal variability and availability of giraffe forage plant species in Sumu wildlife park.

Species	Wet season %	Dry season %
<i>Acacia albida</i>	X	36.54
<i>Acacia Senegal</i>	13.35	X
<i>Acacia sayel</i>	13.79	X
<i>Acacia sieberiana</i>	12.92	X
<i>Acacia hebeclada</i>	X	15.88
<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	9.7 3	X
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	X	12.82
<i>Combretum hypopilinum</i>	10.46	X
<i>Balanite aegyptiaca</i>	X	17.51
<i>Combretum molle</i>	9.13	X
<i>Diospyrus mespiliformis</i>	10.47	X
<i>Ziziphus mucronata</i>	12.25	X
<i>Mimosa asperata</i>	X	11.71
<i>Mimosa pudica</i>	X	9.02
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	X	10.16
<i>Pannisetum purpureum</i>	9.49	X

Source: Field survey (2017/2018)

Key: (i) X = absent in a particular season.

(ii) The figure under every season represent the relative density of each forage.



Fig 1: Checklist of Forage Plant Species Consumed by Giraffes in the Wet and Dry

Season in Sumu Wildlife Park.

The findings of the forage plant species consumed by giraffes in the study area showed that a total of number of sixteen (16) different forage plant species with their families, common name and part consumed by the giraffes were obtained in the wet and dry seasons. The forage species in the wet season were namely: *Acacia sayel* with a total frequency of (116), *Acacia senegal* (130), *Acacia nilotica* (28), *Acacia sieberiana* (62), *Combretum hypopilinum* (26) and *Combretum molle* (30), *Acacia hebeclada* (62), *Diosperus mespiliformis* (62), *Mimosa pudica* (28) *Acacia nilotica* (28) and *Ziziphus mucronata* (40), *Pannisetum purpureum*(34) while the forage species obtained in the dry season were:*Acacia albida* (30), *Acacia hebeclada* (64), *Balanites aegyptiaca* (72), *Mimosa pudica* (56), *Mimosa asperata* (56), *Andropogon gayanus* (88) and *Panicum maximum* (40).The forage species consumed by giraffes are revealed 11 trees and 5 herbaceous species .The giraffe feeds mostly on leaves, twigs, pods, flowers and

thorns. This finding agrees with those of Brown 1992; Hutchins (2003); Mohammed, *et al.*, (2014); Abubakar, *et al.*, (2014) and Hummel, *et al.*, (2003). They stated that food preference of giraffes are mostly leaves of the *Acacia* and *Combretum* species are the most important food items selected. Giraffes feed mostly on succulence leaves, this was observed during the field survey of this findings.

Conclusion

The findings of this study indicated that giraffes forage resources in the study area is presently adequate in terms of diversity, variability and availability, and nutritional content distribution. Sixteen forage species were identified which are available either in the wet or dry seasons. The density and the percentages of the important forage species is adequate. Therefore, feed abundance may be attained in the study area if the available trees are protected from fire and indiscriminate cutting by fuelwood collectors. However, regeneration or enrichment planting should be encouraged

in the study area. This in turn will increase the carrying capacity of the habitat with the attendant increase in giraffe's population. Thereby increasing tourism potentials of the Sumu Wildlife Park.

Recommendations

In view of the findings from this study the following recommendation are made:

1. Judging from the number of available forage species, the study area is moderately species rich. However, indiscriminate cutting of trees and shrubs in the area should be checked to prevent the depletion of these resources.
2. Further research on the nutrient content of all the forage species eaten by giraffe in the study area could be done, especially on the carbohydrate, potassium and phosphorus.
3. Therefore, further data on the concentration of micronutrients in the plants eaten should be of great interest to draw conclusions on the functions of browsing/grazing in giraffes in Sumu Wildlife Park.
4. Enrichment planting of the forage plant species most preferred by giraffe in the study area should be encouraged.
5. More food supplementation should be sustained during the drought periods and salt licks should also be provided. This is presently being done in Sumu Wildlife Park.

References

1. Abubakar S, Godwin HO, Paul CO, Omotoye O, Rebecca WN. Evaluating the Nutritional Potential of *Acacia sieberiana* (DC) Growing in North West of Nigeria. *Journal of Biology and Life Science*, 2014;5:2. [www. Macrothink .org/jbls](http://www.Macrothink.org/jbls) Downloaded on June 2018.
2. Adamu S. Principles of Experimentation and Data Analysis: A concise Approach with Minitab Statistical Software Application, 117.
3. Abdulrazak SA, Fujihara T, Ondiek JK, Orskov ER. Nutritive Evaluation of Some *Acacia* Tree Leaves from Kenya. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 2000;85(1-2):89-98.
4. Abdulrahman SM, Ali EO, Haron R. The Chemical Composition Pigeon (*Cajanus cajan*) Seed and Functional Properties of Protein Isolate Pakistan. *Journal of Nutrition*, 9:1069- 1073.
5. Antelope Specialist Group [ASG] *Giraffa camelopardalis*. In. IUCN, 2003. Red List of Threatened Species, 1996. www.redlist.org
6. Anyango DC, Were – Kogogo, P. J. A. Dietary Preference of the Rothschild's Giraffes (*Giraffa camelopardalis rothschildii*) Translocated to Ruma National Park, Kenya. *International Journal of Environmental Science, Management and Engineering Research*, 1996;2(1):1-23, Jan-Feb., 2013. Available on-line at <http://www.ijesmer.com>
7. Al-soqeer AA. Nutritive Value Assessment of *Acacia* Species Using their Chemical Analyses and *in Vitro* Gas Production Technique. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 2008;4:688-694.
8. Association of Official Analytical Chemist [AOAC], Official Method of Analysis Washington, D. C, 2010.
9. Azim A, Ghazanfar S, Latif A, Nadeem MA. Nutritive Evaluation of Some Top Fodder Tree Leaves and Shrubs of District Chakwal Pakistan in Relation to Ruminants Requirements. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2011;10(1):54-59.
10. Badlangana LN, Adams JW, Manger PR. "The Giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*) Cervical Vertebra Column: A Heuristic Example in Understanding Evolutionary Processes? *Zoological Journal of the Linnaean Society*, 2009;155(3):736-57.
11. Baltimore M. Walker's Mammals of the World Okapi and Giraffe Edition. The John's Hopkins University press, 1999;2(6):1080-1089. Retrieved on 25/08/2018.
12. Bashaw MJ. Social behaviour and communication in a herd of captive giraffe. PhD dissertation. Georgia Institute of Technology, USA, 2003.
13. Bauchi State Government Diary [BASGD] Map of Ganjuwa Local government area, 2013.
14. Berhane G, Eik L, O, Tolera A. Chemical Composition and *in Vitro* Gas Production of Vetch (*Vicia sativa*) and Some Browse and Grass Species in Northern Ethiopia. *Afr. J. Range & Forage Sci.*, 2006;23(1):69-75.
15. Bercovitch F, Fennessy J, Brown D, Brand R, Brown M, Bolger D. *et al.* "Giraffa camelopardalis". IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015.2. International Union for Conservation of Nature, 2016.
16. Bercovitch FB, Berry PS. Ecological determinants of herd size in the Thornicroft's giraffe of Zambia. *African Journal of Ecology*, 2010;48(4):962-971.
17. Bond WJ, Lofell D. Introduction of Giraffes Changes Acacia Distribution in a South African Savanna. *African Journal of Ecology*, 2001;39:286-294.
18. Birkett A. The impact of giraffe, rhino and elephant on the habitat of a black rhino sanctuary in Kenya. *Afr. J. Ecol*, 2002;40:276-282.
19. Brown DM, Brenneman RA, Koepfli KP, Poolinger JP, Mila B, Georgiadis NJ, *et al.* "Extensive population Genetic Structure in the Giraffe" *BMC Biology*, 2007;5(1):57.
20. Brown A. *Encyclopedia of Mammals, Browsing Giants* Tarrytown, New York: Marchell Cavendish Corporation, 1997;6:809-833.
21. Broten MD, Said M. Chapter 8 "Population trends Trends Ungulates in and around Kenya's Masai Mara Reserve" in *Serengeti II: Dynamic Management and Conservation of a*, 1995